

THE LIBERATOR  
PUBLISHED WEEKLY  
AT NO. 25, CORNHILL, BY  
ISAAC KNAPP.  
Wm. Lloyd Garrison, Editor.

AGENTS.  
Nathan Winslow, Portland  
NEW-HAMPSHIRE.  
J. B. Rogers, Plymouth.  
VERMONT.  
The Boston Woodstock.

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover

NEW-YORK.  
Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover  
J. B. Rogers, Wm. H. Burleigh, Wm. Henderson, Hanover



VOL. VIII. OUR COUNTRY IS THE WORLD, OUR COUNTRYMEN ARE ALL MANKIND. NO. 19.  
BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS. FRIDAY, MAY 11, 1838.

BOSTON. FRIDAY, MAY 11, 1838.

As the editor of the Liberator (to whom the following letter is addressed) is now absent on a visit to New-York and Philadelphia, his reply must be postponed until his return.

[From the Boston Reformer.]  
LETTER TO WILLIAM LLOYD GARRISON.  
Mount Bellingham, April 14, 1838.

Dear Sir,—I read in your paper of yesterday, some strictures upon the second number of the Boston Quarterly Review, and upon me personally, which I cannot in justice to myself suffer to pass without some comment. Had I less confidence in the purity of your motives, less respect for your talents, and reverence for the warmth of your philanthropy, I should do by your strictures as I have invariably done with all similar ones—pass them over without notice. I have had much, one time and another, written and alleged against me, but I have rarely if ever appeared in my defence. My aim is to pursue the course I believe to be right, steadily and without much regard to the applause I may obtain, or the censures I may call forth. If I do any good, it will live and be a sufficient protection to my character, while the opinion men may form of me will at longest be soon forgotten. But my regard for you personally, and my deep reverence for the rights of man, of which you stand before the public as a conspicuous champion, induced me, for once, to depart from my settled rule, and to complain of the injustice I believe you have done me.

I find no fault with you, Sir, for not agreeing with me in opinion, nor for endeavoring to show that my views are not always correct. My publications are public property. Every man has a right to criticise them as much as he pleases, and with what scrutiny may seem to him proper. I shall neither complain nor be offended. I was gratified when you commended the first number of my Review. You spoke kindly of it, and I thanked you then, and I thank you now, notwithstanding you have recalled what you then said. Had my present number met your approbation, I should also have been gratified. But I find no fault with you because it has not. I do not complain of you, since you do not approve it,—for expressing your strong disapprobation of it. Still, Sir, I think your allusions to me are unkind and needlessly caustic. But of this I do not complain. You are not the first man who has spoken unkindly of me. I complain that you have denominated me to your readers without stating clearly my offences, or attempting to show that my statements of which you appear to be displeased, are not true. I complain that you call me hard names, make false charges against me, instead of refuting my arguments. Were you not a man of more than ordinary pretensions to purity of character and Christian perfection, I should not notice even this. But you, Sir, are a reformer. You denounce the Christian churches as being below the Christian standard, and call out in a voice that rings through the land for a purer and more elevated morality than the world has ever yet seen, save in the person of Jesus of Nazareth. You are a perfect peace man. You denounce war, all resort to force, to every thing like coercion, and contend that we should all practice on the law of disinterested love as manifested in our Saviour. I cannot but respect the man who is and does this, and I almost necessarily attach no little importance to his statements. But in proportion as I respect him, do I feel hurt, when he turns the force of his character against me, and denounces me as servile, as looking with complacency on the slave-trade, as departing from my principles, and making myself a moral harlequin, and I put it to yourself, Sir, whether, were you in my case, you would deem such denunciation consistent with that elevated morality for which you contend?

You charge me with having 'thrown a somerset,' as you express yourself, with having acted in concert with the abolitionists, spoken in their defence, eulogized their labors, and cheered them on to the conflict with slavery, but now in the twinkling of an eye facing right about, using a southern dialect, opposing abolition societies, and looking with complacency upon the piratical slave-trade. You speak of me as having once advocated doctrines respecting the rights of man, which were sound and true, but now putting forth doctrines so 'detestable and infamous,' so inconsistent with those I recently cherished, that I can no longer be looked upon as worthy of any confidence. Now, I regard this as a serious charge. Had you called me a loco-foco, a horrid radical, an agrarian, or by any of the sobriquets which are terms of reproach in the estimation of those who are opposed to reformers, I could have borne it without uneasiness. But, Sir, by many years of hard labor, I have gained the name of a Horrid Radical, a Klash, and a Headstrong Innovator. This name is my all on earth. I have worn it so long that it is dear to me, and I am unwilling to have it torn from me.

Now, Sir, you must be aware that it is hardly true to say that I have for some time acted in concert with abolitionists. I have from my earliest recollection been opposed to slavery, but I have never been, in the technical sense of the term, an abolitionist. Once I appeared, and once only, as a member of one of your Society meetings. I attended a meeting of the Anti-Slavery Society at Worcester last fall and took an active part in its proceedings. I went there, Sir, almost solely out of respect to yourself. On the evening before that meeting, two abolitionists called at my house, and told me an effort was to be made at Worcester, to put down Mr. Garrison, and they wished I would go and do what I could to sustain him. I knew something of the nature of the contest; I had strong sympathy with you, and no confidence in the clerical gentlemen who were opposed to you; and after much solicitation, and after being assured that the fact that I was not a member of the Anti-Slavery Society would be no bar in my way, I consented. The course I took when there you yourself well know. This is the only act of my life that could identify me with the abolitionists, and this I had not done, had I not wished to do what I could to save you from an unjust, and in my opinion, a cruel persecution. Twice I have lectured on the subject of slavery, taking care, however, on both occasions, to state that I was not lecturing as a member of any society, but as an individual speaking for himself, and himself only. When your abolition friends

have been impeding in their efforts to discuss the subject of slavery, I have spoken in their favor, and vindicated as I could for them, as for all, the right of free discussion. When Lovejoy was inhumanly murdered at Alton, for doing what he considered his duty, I spoke as I felt in regard to the tragical event, both in my own pulpit, and by request before the Anti-Slavery Society. But, in neither instance, did I profess myself an abolitionist, or speak as an abolitionist, but as a man, as a friend to humanity and the advocate of the right of free discussion. When listening to the eloquent and thrilling appeals of your orators against slavery, I console myself, Sir, I have wished to join with you; I have for the moment been for 'marching against Philip,' and probably have said so; but all my friends know that my views of abolition were and ever have been essentially different from those of the Abolitionists. How can it be said that I have acted in concert with them?

What is the 'somerset' I have thrown? The opinions I have always expressed are, that slavery is wholly and totally wrong; that no man has a right to hold his fellow man in slavery, that it is every man's duty to do whatever as a good Christian and a good citizen, he may do to abolish it; that it is folly to pretend that slavery can be perpetuated, for it is doomed, and must be swept away before the onward march of civilization. These are the sentiments I have uniformly held; and these are the only sentiments I have ever advanced, that you can suppose me to have abandoned. You will find all these, Sir, clearly stated in the article you so strongly denounce. I still hold them, and trust I ever shall. I am not conscious of having undergone any change on these points, since you have known my name, or I yours.

With regard to abolition societies, I have always been opposed to them, a fact which may be inferred from my having had no more connexion with them. I am, and always have been, opposed to the associations which are so characteristic a feature of our times. Good I see in them; good I trust will grow out of them; good is the end they propose; and indications are they of a good spirit abroad; but I have always stood aloof from them. With a temperance society I have sometimes been connected; a part I have sometimes taken in. Lyceums, but I believe I have never been a member of any of the numerous voluntary associations of the day. I have stood aloof from these associations, partly because I have had other things to attend to, partly because I have seen at the head of them, men with whose religious or political views I have been unable to sympathize, but mainly because I have regarded them as unfriendly to freedom, as striking at individual liberty, and merging the individual in the mass. I will, Sir, be in bondage to no man. I love liberty too well to become a slave to a huge association, even though that association have for its object universal emancipation. Though I have never approved abolition societies, I have always contended that their members have a right to discuss the subject of slavery. Moreover, I have always had the deepest sympathy with the subject they have in view, and the greatest reverence for their zeal and disinterestedness. This I have always expressed, but more than this I am not aware of having ever uttered. Nothing of this I am conscious of having contradicted. Where then is the 'somerset' I have thrown?

I must needs think, Sir, that you have not carefully read my article on slavery and abolitionism. The account you give of it can hardly fail to make those of your readers who have not perused it, suppose that it is an article in defence of slavery. Now I am not willing to believe that you would knowingly misrepresent me. It makes up, I presume, no part of your religion to misrepresent any man. Yet that article is by no means a defence of slavery. If you have read it, you must know that it is not. It lays down principles as well as makes express statements which are directly opposed to slavery, and also to the doctrine of the south upon the subject. Its propositions are that slavery is wrong, that it can never be right to hold slaves, and that every slaveholder is bound to labor to remove it as soon as it can be. Is this in favor of slavery? It also asserts our right to discuss the subject of slavery, fully, freely and unreservedly, and bases our right to do this on the ground that we are men, and have therefore the right to interest ourselves in whatever concerns humanity, and on the ground that the right of free discussion is one of the rights of man, which no government can legitimately restrain. Is this to defend slavery, or to speak a southern dialect? Moreover, I say in my article on Grand's Americans, in the same number of the Review, that the discussion of slavery can be, and ought not to be prevented, and that 'so far as the abolitionists are merely addressing arguments to the reason and consciences of the community against slavery, I am with them.' Does this look like abandoning the free principles I have generally been supposed to cherish?

The position I have assumed in my article, to which you, as an abolitionist, may object, are by no means positions in favor of slavery, or against the discussion of its character, and the use of all the moral and rational arguments in our power against it. This would seem to be all that a moral and a rational man need ask. In that article, I assume four positions to which I suppose you must, with your views, object. 1. A man may hold slaves and yet be a Christian. I regard slavery as a social institution, a wrong one indeed, but one which the individual cannot in his individual capacity correct. But before I allow him to be a Christian I contend that he must do all he can to correct it. 2. Societies, not for the discussion but for the abolition of slavery, since they are societies formed to control the institutions of foreign states, contrary to international law. Consequently, if we mean to respect the rights of the slaveholding states, as states, we of the north cannot bond ourselves into abolition societies. You may say that humanity is older than state rights, that justice is paramount to law, and these both demand that we associate to free the slave. My article does not deny this. There may be cases when a man may set laws at defiance, and throw himself upon abstract justice. I would only add, that a man should not do this hastily, without deliberating long, and assuring himself in the most positive manner, that justice requires him to do it. 3. The slave on a southern plantation is a superior being to the negro in his native Africa. It is easy to sneer at this position, but that does not prove it false. I will

only say such has been my deliberate conviction ever since I have thought on the subject, yet this opinion does neither make me 'look with complacency on the piratical slave-trade,' nor lessen my abhorrence of slavery. 4. It is impossible to free the slaves before we have laws, and institutions capable of guaranteeing the rights of man. No man's rights as a man, are yet secure in this country; and till we have laws that will secure to the negro the certainty of being a freeman, it is of little use to declare him free. You, I suppose would consider the slave freed, should he be declared free, and converted into a laborer at wages, with legally all the rights of citizenship. I consider that, as things are, he would be a slave in reality then as well as now, and his condition would be but slightly improved. I ask something more for the slave than the abolitionists propose to give him. These are, I suppose, the objectionable points in my article. I wish, Sir, it had pleased you to have taken them up and shown wherein they are unsound, instead of speaking so harshly of me as you did. You know my article was calm and candid; I called the abolitionists no hard names; I did not sneer at them, nor ridicule them; I merely stated fairly and in as unobjectionable a form as I could, my honest objections to abolition societies. Was I to be denounced for this, and represented in a light which you know must be abhorrent to my feelings, and that, too, by a champion of free discussion and of the rights of man? Why was I not to be met in a spirit as candid, as little abusive, as the one I myself displayed?

If, Sir, you had reflected a moment, you must have perceived that to speak of me as you did, was not the way to convince me that my objections to abolition societies are unfounded. If you wished to convince me of my errors, you took altogether a wrong course. If you intended your remarks as a rebuke, you overlooked the fact that I am also a man as well as you, with notions of right and wrong for myself, and that I am more likely to receive them as an abuse than as a brotherly reproof. If you intended to render me odious to all whom you are capable of influencing, I would ask, if it comport with your idea of Christianity, to blacken the reputation of those whose crime consists in their not agreeing with you in opinion? Is it a part of the policy of abolitionists, to over-awe, brow-beat, denounce every man who does not agree in all respects with them? Is it to respect free discussion, to defend the rights of man? Is it less important to respect the rights of a white man than it is of a black man?

EXTRACTS FROM AN ARTICLE IN THE BOSTON QUARTERLY REVIEW.  
BY G. A. BROWNSON.

It is as striking against State sovereignty, as denying the independence of the several States, as claiming for the citizens of one State jurisdiction over the legislation of another, that we view the proceedings of Abolition Societies with suspicion and alarm. To say the least, they assert the justice of a species of propagandism, which, if admitted, must strike at all national independence, and which will not fail to disturb the peaceful intercourse of nations, embroil them in war, and deluge the earth in blood. He who comes forth as the champion of liberty must bear in mind, that he is under no less obligation to defend the rights of communities, than he is the rights of individuals. He who loves America, and would live and die for American liberty, should look well before he adopts a course which may embroil the several States in a civil war, or in the end change the relations which now subsist between the National government and that of the several States. Liberty is as much interested in maintaining inviolate the rights of the National government, on the one hand, and especially of the several States which compose the Union, on the other hand, as she is in freeing the slave. In the measures the Abolitionists adopt, there is a deeper question involved than that of Negro slavery. All who are accustomed to look below the surface of things, may see that it is a question of no less magnitude than that of changing the whole structure of the government of this country, and possibly that of destroying the liberty of the whole American people. When hundreds and thousands of our citizens are banded together to trample on the rights of independent communities in the holy name of Freedom herself, we confess we are not a little alarmed for the rights of the individual. One barrier leaped, another may be; and when communities can no longer make their rights respected, what can the individual do?

The abolitionists say they use only moral and rational means, merely arguments addressed to the reason and the conscience. Is it so? To what kind of a reason or a conscience is denunciation addressed? Is it so? What mean, then, these fifteen hundred affiliated Societies, spread over the non-slaveholding States, pledged to the immediate emancipation of the slaves? Are these Societies' arguments addressed to the individual reason and conscience of the slaveholder? What is the rationale of this argument? What is its legitimacy? Many hundreds of thousands of men, women, and children, all solemnly pledged to effect the immediate emancipation of the slaves, are banded together in some fifteen hundred Societies; therefore slavery is a sin; therefore no slaveholder is a Christian; and therefore every slaveholder must immediately emancipate his slaves! We confess this is a species of logic that passes our comprehension. That these Societies, by banding together the majority of our population, may so concentrate public opinion, and bring it to bear with such force on the institution of slavery, that the slaveholder shall feel himself unable to withstand it, and therefore compelled to free his slaves, is what we can understand very well; but this is neither a rational nor a moral argument for the abolition of slavery. A man finds a loaded pistol presented at his breast, and to save his life gives up his purse; and the slaveholder finds the community pointing the finger of scorn at him, and to save his reputation, which he holds dearer than life, emancipates his slaves; which is the more moral and rational argument of the two? An army, organized and marching upon the South to free the slaves at the point of the bayonet, would, in principle, be an argument to the individual reason and conscience of the slaveholder, equally as forcible, appropriate, and convincing, as an associated multitude pointing the finger of scorn, or shouting denunciation, and threatening the vengeance of Heaven.

Nor is it true that our Abolitionists contemplate no action on the subject, but the action of truth and moral suasion. They do contemplate political action. They let pass no possible opportunity of bringing the subject of slavery before the State legislatures; and they are constantly at work to get it discussed on the floor of Congress. What, we ask, is all this agitation for? Why is Abolitionism organizing a political party in the States and the Nation? Why does it want Abolition members in our State Legislatures? Why does it interrogate candidates for office as to their views of slavery? Is there no political action intended? Give it a majority in Congress, and will it not legislate on the subject? It will at once abolish slavery in the District of Columbia, and in the Territories. Will it stop there? Who so simple as to believe it? It will usurp, or alter the United States Constitution so as not to need to usurp the power to abolish it in the States. What are paper constitutions in the way of a body of men, women, and children, inflamed, drunken with a great Idea, and so much the more drunken because the Idea with which they are filled is a holy one,—what are paper constitutions in their way, when they have in their hands the actual power to advance? He knows nothing of the power of an enthusiastic multitude, who thinks such feeble barriers would arrest their progress. Their leaders might rush before them, the wise and prudent might beg them to pause; but leaders, and the wise and prudent are as chaff before the wind, and on will the multitude press, sweeping them away, or trampling them under their feet, to the realization of the Idea which inspires them. Here is the danger. Let the Abolitionists get the majority banded together, in or under the control of their affiliated Societies, pledged to the immediate emancipation of the slaves, and they will throw into Congress the power to do it; that is, power to regulate the internal institutions of the States; gone then is the independence of the States; and then goes individual freedom; and then all power is in the central government; Greece or Rome is reproduced; the abolition of the State is established, which merely precludes the abolition of the Emperor. God grant, that in the honest and earnest defence of Liberty, we dig not her grave!

The Southern planter keeps alive here the very element of individual freedom, represented by the feudal baron in Europe. The South, therefore, becomes the defender of individual freedom, as the North is the great advocate of social freedom. One represents the individual element, as the other does the social element of human nature. Hence the North demands a strong government, and the South a strong people. The North have been Federalists, the South Democrats. Now if we weaken the Southern individuality before the Northern centralization be fixed by laws, which leave the individual in possession of all his natural rights, we destroy the equilibrium between the individual and the State, and endanger the freedom of both. This is one reason why we regret the present agitation of the slave question, and why we see danger, not to the Union merely, but to liberty herself, in the Abolition movement.

The Southern planter is a sort of prince. Living in the centre of his plantation, of his own principality, absolute lord, and proprietor of a number of human beings, he feels that he, individually, is a man; that his rights as a man ought to reflect that his Negroes have the same rights by nature, as himself, and so he will one day, but first he must secure his own rights. After he has secured his own rights as a man, and made them no longer in danger from the

Your friend and brother,  
O. A. BROWNSON.  
WM. LLOYD GARRISON.

Senator Preston has expressed himself in favor of Henry Clay as the next President, and given it as his opinion that should he be elected, his inaugural address would settle the question of slavery for at least 25 years.—North Ad.



Northern tendency to centralization, he will perceive that he has, in defending them, been defending those of the Negroes; and then he will take up in earnest the matter of freeing them. To free them before we are free, because before he has secured his own rights, there can be no security for theirs.

Here is the aid which slavery itself, through the providence of God, is made to contribute to liberty. Good always comes out of evil; and Southern statesmen are nearer the truth than we commonly think them, when they say, that 'Southern slavery is the support of Northern liberty.' We confess, that as things were, we see no way in which freedom could have been established in this country, without the strong sense of individual freedom which slavery tends to produce in the planter. When the world has become Christianized, we shall support individual freedom on the maxim, that 'you are as good as I'; but in an earlier stage of social and individual progress, we must do it by means of this other maxim, 'I am as good as you.' Now this feeling of personal importance, of egotism, if you please, was in no way, that we can see, to be introduced but by slavery, and without this, our Republic would not have had the checks and balances needed. The time will come, when this will not be needed, and then slavery will cease. Before, it will not.

Now, until we have settled the controversy about State rights and individual rights, and obtained the amplest security for both, it is as unwise as it is useless to touch the question of slavery. As yet there is no security given, or capable of being given, that the slave will be a free man even if declared free by the laws. Let this security be obtained before you attempt to emancipate him. He is now, paradoxical as it may seem, aiding in laying the foundation of universal liberty to universal man, and when the superstructure is reared, and the multitude through its course, he shall appear in the temple a free and equal worshipper.

Hard, undoubtedly it is, that liberty should be purchased at the slave's expense, and that we have no fondness for the idea; but *less injustice is done the slave than we commonly imagine.* The Negro on a Southern plantation is unquestionably a superior being to the Negro in his native Africa. By being enslaved, he has been elevated, not degraded. Degraded he no doubt is in comparison with his master, but his captivity shall redeem his race. The years of his bondage shall not be so long, his labors, sufferings, and sacrifices in becoming a civilized man shall be far less, than ours have been. So far as we may judge from the past, it is the settled order of God's providence, that man shall be saved only by crucified redeemers. Man is never to receive freedom and civilization as a boon; he can obtain them only by toil and struggle and blood. Why it should be so, is one of the mysteries of Providence, for which we might perhaps assign some good reasons, but which we do not undertake to solve. The world is full of mysteries, and this is no more dark and perplexing than a thousand others. Time will clear it up.

#### POLITICAL ACTION—SEPARATE POLITICAL ORGANIZATION.

A correspondent in the Xenia Free Press has nominated Levi Whipple, Esq., as a candidate for the office of Governor, fit to be supported by the united suffrages of abolitionists. He assumes the ground that abolitionists should form a distinct and separate political party. The editor of the Free Press very justly objects to this movement.

We are utterly opposed to every measure, that looks towards a separate political organization; because it is an experiment which has never been tried and is therefore uncertain, while our present mode of political action has been tested, and found to be safe and efficacious; because in all the resolutions of our state and national societies, it has never been countenanced; because it would render our motives suspected, place abolitionists in the attitude of political partisans, fighting for office, honor and power, and thus corrupt their ranks by filling them up with selfish and unprincipled adventurers, and tempting them to resort to the ordinary machinery of partisan warfare—tricks, misrepresentation and calumny; because it would divert their energies from the promotion of anti-slavery principles to the concoction of schemes for their own aggrandizement; because it would effectually prevent the reformation of public sentiment they contemplate, by utterly subverting their present party-attachments and sympathies, which afford so many channels for reaching the hearts and minds of their associates, and substituting in their place a mass of hostile feeling, the unflinching product of a strife for offices of trust, power or profit, which would defeat all attempts on the part of abolitionists to conciliate the good-will or confidence of their political opponents; because, finally, it would engender internal dissensions, jealousies, and at length open division among themselves; for being composed of seceders from the two great parties which now divide the country, and still retaining their peculiar politics, they would naturally array themselves according to their party-affinities, and oppose or support abolition candidates according to their political creed.

The cause of anti-slavery belongs to all parties and sects, and we should as much regret to see abolitionists drawing off from the parties to which they belong, for the sake of forming another political party, as we should to see them leaving the churches of which they are members, to build up a separate anti-slavery church. The reformation we design, is not to be accomplished by schism. Let this take place, and our hopes of success are at an end. Abolitionists in such an attitude could no more expect to abolish other sects and parties, than the Methodist church can expect to convert to Methodism the Presbyterian church, or the whig party expect to revolutionize the antagonist party. Reformation will go on in a church or party so long as the reformers continue within it, but no longer.

Take away the heaven, and the lump will not be leveled. The heaven cannot act on the outside, but must be incorporated with the mass. Let abolitionists remain where they are—attached to their respective parties and churches. In such connections they are brethren; their arguments will be listened to; their entreaties will not be lost on friendly hearts. Let them secede, and they are brethren no longer; they are now regarded as strangers; their interference is repelled; their arguments and persuasions are stigmatized as officious intermeddling.

All that can safely be done in a political way is to be done by questioning candidates, and so regulating the bestowment of our suffrages, as not to violate a single fundamental principle of abolition. We believe these are the sentiments of nineteen-twentieths of abolitionists throughout Ohio.—*Philanthropist.*

#### A. E. GRIMKE IN PROVIDENCE.

The following merited panegyric, we copy from the Providence Morning Courier:

It will probably be allowed by all who heard the address of A. E. Grimke on the afternoon of the 24th inst. that it was an occasion of much interest.

She spoke about one and a half hours to a respectable, large and quiet audience, who manifested by their attention and respectful deportment, an interest which must be very grateful to the friends of the poor slave. The services commenced by the reading of appropriate selections from scripture by her elder sister, during which A. E. Grimke was wrapt in reflection;

feeling, doubtless, that no light duty was about to devolve upon her, and perchance secretly offering a petition from the heart's altar to the Omnipotent One, for the soul sustaining aid of his presence. She immediately arose upon the conclusion of the reading, and after adjusting some documents for reference, gave the audience a look full of earnest expression, in which solemnity and tranquility were so blended, that the spectator could but feel a species of awe at the same time that he felt at ease in respect to her novel position.

It is not my design to give a particular account of the communication of this gifted woman, but I would not omit to do justice to her discernment and good judgment in omitting very much that tends merely to excite the feelings, and dwelling upon what the community are now inquisitive to know—what good is attendant upon Anti-Slavery labors, and why the present ground for labor is selected in preference to the South? Her enunciation is distinct, energetic and elegant, her manners graceful and simple, and every feature of her countenance very expressive; and while it is evident that her mind is strong, well disciplined, and thoroughly versed in the subjects that mind is too deeply imbued with feeling, and with the elements of true eloquence not to give occasional appeals that reach the better feelings of the heart. Can any one who heard this individual say that in so doing, she is 'out of her sphere'? Are these women out of their sphere, while employing their talents, and time, and spending their strength, and property, for the good of our fellow creatures? I think not; and the sooner woman rightly estimates her capabilities, and values her real dignity and usefulness, the better it will be for the elevation and advancement of our race. Let us rejoice that in the orderings of a good Providence, the female sex have such bright examples in thought, word, and deed, as is exhibited by the sisters Grimke; and let those who have heard their clear logical reasoning, their heart-reaching appeals, their eloquent pleadings for the defenceless, remember those in bonds as bound with them, and let them open their ears to the crying of the needy; those who are thereby induced to learn to do justice, and love mercy, who are lead to inquire for themselves 'What is Truth?' as it bears upon the subject of Slavery, and what is required of each individual in reference to this National Sin, will not be in the situation of those to whom it will be said, 'I was an hungry and ye gave me no meat; I was thirsty, and ye gave me no drink; I was a stranger, and ye took me not in; naked, and ye clothed me not; sick and in prison, and ye visited me not.'

MR. BRECKINRIDGE AND THE BALTIMORE MAGAZINE.

Robert J. Breckinridge, in his Baltimore Literary and Religious Magazine, has commented largely on the treatment his publication has received in Petersburg, on the ground of its containing abolitionism.—Mr. B. contends, that 'abolition properly so called,' has no more decided enemies than himself and his Magazine. To prove this, he says he has preached against the doctrines of 'modern abolitionists' since their origin—that he went to Boston to denounce Garrison, 'went to Glasgow and exposed Thompson,' and 'from Paris silenced 'Our Wardlaw,' and 'in both hemispheres' has 'ceaselessly opposed this fanaticism.' 'Our aim,' says he, 'has been to resist and expose the fanaticism and outrageous spirit which has manifested itself at the North on so many great subjects, and especially in regard to sound doctrine, religious revivals, and the colored race.' He intimates that the obnoxious article on slavery was admitted into his Magazine, not because he fully approved of it, but on the principle of free discussion and the claims of antislavery friendship and Christian fellowship' (for the writer.) The Rev. Mr. Hutchinson, who raised the storm at Petersburg against his Magazine, on account of its supposed abolitionism, as Mr. Breckinridge informs us, was an 'eccentric man, and he gives him a pretty good drubbing for such a dough-face.—For this, Mr. Breckinridge is entitled to our thanks; and also for two other concessions, of some importance to our cause:—(1.) That his 'colonization' agency in Massachusetts was for the purpose of 'denouncing abolitionism and Mr. Garrison.' (2.) That this 'fanatical,' 'modern abolitionism,' which he has combated 'in both hemispheres,' is 'ABOLITION PROPERLY SO CALLED.' A very frank and discriminating distinction. We hope the North as well as the South will bear in mind the obvious implication of Mr. Breckinridge's (the very point upon which vindication was made to turn)—that NO OTHER abolitionism, except that of the 'modern' 'fanatic,' IS 'abolition properly so called.'

We hope, likewise, our northern religionists who are fearful that abolition will drive away revivals, will notice how Mr. Breckinridge connects revivals and abolitionism together.

One thought more. The pretense hitherto has been, that northern interference was all the trouble with the ministers of the South, who would otherwise be very ready to listen to the discussion of emancipation.—The burning of Mr. Breckinridge's Baltimore Magazine has dissipated all the mists and moonshine of that story, and the character of slaveholding religion will hereafter be better understood.—*Friend of Man.*

THE SOUTHERN CHRISTIAN HERALD, (Cheraw, S. C.) has for some time past devoted several columns a week to the publication of 'SMYLYE ON SLAVERY.' We infer from this fact—(1.) That the Southern conscience is ill at ease, and is casting about for some grounds of justification for slavery. (2.) That in the judgment of the editor of the Herald, the southern people need to be supplied with arguments for slaveholding. (3.) That the agitation of the subject at the North has awakened this inquiry, and brought to light this necessity. (4.) That the entire southern people are in a fair way of finding out that the morality of their 'domestic institution' is a controverted question. (5.) That the publication of that fact in a newspaper is not considered unsafe, or calculated to excite the slaves to insurrection. (6.) That if the editors of the Herald are willing their readers should examine both sides of the question, they will have no objection to furnishing their readers with Gerrit Smith's answer to Smylie. (7.) That whether they do this or not, there will be some of their readers who will be reminded of their duty to learn what may be said on the other side of the question. (8.) That a full investigation of this subject at the South is not far distant. (9.) That truth will finally and ere long prevail.—*Ibid.*

MORE COLONIZATION.—A memorial has been presented to the legislature of Maryland, recommending the EXPULSION of all the free people of color from that state, by the 10th of May 1840.—and another to prevent them from working at mechanical trades! 'For vice abominable propositions,' says the National Enquirer, 'the colored people are indebted to the Colonizationists who have thrown off their mask.'

We are not aware that there is a single missionary board in our country of whom it is known whether they approve or disapprove of the 'system of American Slavery.' But the missionaries are speaking out the voice of mercy.

CURVEY OF A SLAVE.—The Kingston (Jam.) Dispatch of Jan. 27th says, 'We are informed that another slave vessel, captured by one of Her Majesty's cruisers, with about 108 slaves on board, was brought into Port Antonio on Thursday afternoon.'

#### WILL MASSACHUSETTS CONSIDER.

We find the following advertisement in a late Kentucky paper. The name of the paper we have lost, but we think it was the Frankfort Commonwealth.

#### RUNAWAY COMMITTED.

COMMITTED to the jail of Henderson county, Kentucky, on the 25th day of April, 1837, a NEGRO MAN, who calls himself JOHN BARNWELL. He is about 22 years of age, about 5 feet 10 inches high, slender build, very straight, and erect in his carriage, quite black, prominent cheek bones. He is a first rate cook and house servant; and from this circumstance, it is supposed he has been steward on some steamer, or raised in the house. He says he is a free man, was born and raised in the city of Boston, where his father, OSMAN BARNWELL, resided, when he left there in the month of April, 1836; but in a correspondence between the jailer of Henderson and a resident of Boston, it is ascertained that no person of the name of Osman Barnwell is or has resided there for the last seventeen years; and that the names of persons and streets in Boston, as given by John, clearly proves that he has never been there as a resident. He is at this time hired out, and in possession of the jailer of Henderson, who will attend to any communication concerning him, until delivered, and then he will be disposed of according to the laws of this state.

J. H. GREEN, d. s. for.

Oct. 11, 1837.—244-3m. Joseph Allen, s. h. c.

Here it will be seen that a MAN, apparently free, is arrested without the least alleged cause, and charged with being a slave. He pleads that he is a citizen of Massachusetts, and of course no slave, for no native of Massachusetts can be a slave—her constitution, made when 'the Spirit of Seventy-Six' was in full force, having declared that 'ALL MEN ARE BORN FREE AND EQUAL.' Yet this man is incarcerated, and after being a prisoner from the 25th day of April, 1837, till Oct. 11, 1837, an advertisement is put forth, that 'if no owner apply for him, he will be disposed of' i. e. sold as a slave. And all that has been done to ascertain his standing or to secure justice is 'a correspondence between the jailer of Henderson and a RESIDENT OF BOSTON.' What this 'resident' is, or his credibility as a witness, is not stated. Still less do we know that he is authorized privately to certify away the liberties of native citizens of Boston!

This is the state comity, and regard for state rights, of which we hear so much and see so little from slaveholders.—*Emancipator.*

DR. ELY'S BENEVOLENT SLAVE-HELPING.—Two years ago, Dr. Ezra Stiles Ely removed to Missouri, and there became the purchaser of a man named Ambrose, to prevent him being sent down the Mississippi, and away from his wife and family. Abolitionists were triumphantly challenged to say ought against it! Rev. Joshua Leavitt addressed a letter of expostulation to Dr. Ely.—Here is a single paragraph:

'Why do you hold Ambrose as a slave? Do you not thereby sanction slavery, with all its natural pains? And for what reason do you hold him? Suppose you should die before the allotted time shall arrive; will your reason be any more sound, if Ambrose is in your inventory, as a part of your property? It is amongst the possibles, in this changing world, and amidst your magnificent plans, that defeat should overtake you, and consequent insolvency; what is then to prevent Ambrose from passing to your trustees, and going to the highest bidder, under the sheriff's hammer?'

Dr. Ely persisted, and what is the sequel?—The Emancipator gives it thus:—

'The sequel may be told in a word, as we have heard from a gentleman who has lately returned from the far West. The change of the times has reached Missouri, and Dr. Ely's immense estate is in the hands of trustees, and AMBROSE IS ASSIGNED AS PROPERTY FOR THE PAYMENT OF HIS MASTER'S DEBTS!—*Philanthropist.*

#### THE CHARLESTON UNION PRESBYTERY.

At the next meeting of this body, the following resolutions were passed, by unanimous consent, respecting the Anti-Slavery document of the General Assembly of 1818, which we lately published. They were introduced by Rev. Elipha White:

'Whereas the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in 1818, having taken into consideration the subject of slavery, and made known their sentiments upon the same, by the adoption of the following resolutions:—

'Resolved, That we, in the opinion of the Charleston Union Presbytery, this 'expression of sentiment' is erroneous in itself, injurious to the Christian character of the Southern States, and unworthy a place on the records of the Presbyterian church.

'Resolved, That we deem it a duty which we owe to ourselves and the world, to declare publicly that we have no sympathy with such sentiments, and that we can never remain satisfied in our present ecclesiastical relations until this is repealed.

'Resolved, That we do hereby do petition the next General Assembly to repeal the said act of 1818; and further to declare that the relation of master and slave is a civil institution over which the judicatories of the Presbyterian church have no jurisdiction.

'Resolved, That our Commissioners to the next General Assembly be directed to lay before them these resolutions before the Assembly; and they are hereby requested to use all just and honorable means to secure their adoption by that body.'

We presume that so far as the act of 1818 was opposed to slavery, it not only expressed the sentiments of the Assembly, but of our readers generally. Yet this Presbytery are prepared to declare, that they have no sympathy with these sentiments. They seem determined, moreover, that the subject shall be discussed in the next Assembly. We can assure them, that they are coming into conflict with all the deep and settled impressions of Christian sentiment at the North, and indeed, throughout the world. We have no expectation that any remonstrances of ours would deter them from persisting in their positions. As we have before remarked, we do not feel unwilling to have the discussion arise. Let it come. The eyes of the Christian world are now scrutinizing the Christian public sentiment of the South, and no one can mistake the evident meaning of Divine Providence, in thus throwing this subject directly before the church. We have no doubt but the day will come, when the men who voted for the above resolutions, will weep before God for the act.

We appeal to them earnestly, and inquire, do they suppose that God has forgotten the poor? Can he be so careless of the oppressed? Can he approve the system of slavery, which is entirely adverse to his own law, and word, and institutions? The positions which are now taken by ministers of our own denomination of Northern Christians, there is a testimony on this subject which they are called to bear; and who can longer be silent, when all that is valuable in civil and religious freedom, is thus sacrificed at the shrine of slavery?—*N. Y. Evangelist.*

EMANCIPATION AND COLONIZATION.—The New Orleans Picayune of the 13th inst. says, 'We understand that SIX HUNDRED NEGROES, belonging to a gentleman of this city, lately deceased, are to be liberated according to his will, provided they are willing to go to Africa, in which case ample provision is to be made for their transportation.' O, that abominable Colonization Society!—*N. Y. Journal of Commerce.*

'None the less 'abominable' in its spirit, object, principles and measures, on this account. Hear what the editor of the Colored American says of this Society:—

How any body of civilized men, and especially Christian men, or in other words, men professing Christianity, can support a system which is scattering persecution, starvation, and death, amongst an unsuspecting, inoffensive and defenceless people, we cannot imagine. And how they have so long deceived the public, and still continue the deception, we cannot comprehend. Surely these men are given over to hardness of heart, and blindness of understanding, that they may believe a lie and be destroyed.

#### TEXAS.

The following Preamble and Resolutions of the Tennessee Legislature were presented on the 15th inst. (one Virginia House of Delegates, by S. Shields):

Whereas, we have been anxious and attentive observers of the progress of events in Texas, and have not been unmoved spectators of her late gallant and glorious struggle for freedom, and have seen that freedom achieved by the near approach of the Texas people to a common ancestry; and whereas, we have seen, by a vote of the people of the Republic, an anxious desire manifested to become citizens of these United States; and whereas, we believe that the gallant struggle of the Texas people in their struggle for liberty and free government, is an assurance of their worth and sufficient evidence of their qualification to tithe them to brotherhood and citizenship with us; and whereas, also, we believe that the annexation of Texas to these United States is a consummation devoutly to be wished, and an end worthy our best exertions to attain, if it can be done without an infraction of the law of nations, or a departure from the policy or principles of this Government:

Now, therefore, Resolved, by the General Assembly of the State of Tennessee, That WE DESIRE MOST ANXIOUSLY that Texas be acquired to these United States; and

Resolved, That our Senators and Representatives in Congress be informed of our desire to acquire the Territory of Texas, and to annex it to the United States by treaty, purchase, and at such time as they may deem most expedient.

Resolved, That a copy of this preamble and these resolutions be forwarded by the Governor of this State to our Senators and Representatives in Congress, and request that they introduce them to the consideration of both branches of Congress.

JOHN LOCKE,  
Speaker of the House of Representatives.  
TERRY H. CANAL,  
Speaker of the Senate.

#### FROM THE MASSACHUSETTS SPY.

MR. ALLEN'S SPEECH.  
BEFORE THE LATE CONVENTION OF MINISTERS.

This able and eloquent exposition of the rights and duties of the clergy, in respect to action on the subject of slavery, has been long and anxiously looked for by many; and, although it has come somewhat late, it is always good by keeping. The speech is now published, with some important additions, and a few slight emendations; but they who had the pleasure of hearing it will recognize the great and good sense, the purity and the spirit of the original article. The points discussed are of great interest in themselves; and, for this reason, as well as for local considerations, they deserve, at this time, the special attention of such as would make up an intelligent and correct judgment on the subject. The objection that a minister, in 'publicly expressing his sentiments on slavery, leaves his appropriate sphere of duty,' because that subject is partly of a political nature, is very satisfactorily disposed of, by an appeal to the example of the apostle Paul, and the example of the Great Apostle, of the Reformers, of the first ministers of New England, and of those who were most distinguished for talents and piety in the time of the American Revolution. In relation to them all, it is shown that they raised their voices against slavery, in opposition to 'institutions' identified with the civil policy of the times, and wrought into the very framework of civil government. Several facts are stated, exhibiting the public action of the clergy, both singly and collectively, in relation to the great question of slavery, and the country at the period of the revolution, which will be read with great interest.

The propriety of conventional action on this subject—a topic on which so much has been said, and with so little result, is amply demonstrated, and it is believed, in the judgment of all candid minds, triumphantly vindicated. But it is unnecessary to go into an analysis of the speech. It must be read, and read with care, in order to be fully appreciated. A single extract from the closing paragraphs, may suffice for a specimen—an extract which ought to be engraven on the heart of every minister in New England.

'If, in New England, where freedom has loved to dwell, and where, as the almoner of God's bounty, she has quenched the people's thirst from the smitten rock, and made them suck honey out of the flinty rock—if, in the heart of this free Commonwealth, and in the name of Heaven's word shall, from a blind policy, a faint heart, or a mistaken sense of duty, refuse to renege against slavery in our land, one would think that nature itself would speak out; that the forests would murmur and sigh; that the rocks would shake and tremble; that the hearts of these hills would throb with audible pulsations; that these valleys would be filled with unsleeping echoes; and the broad atmosphere be filled with the cries of freedom, in agony for the crushed bleeding slave; and in sad and over the children, she has nourished and brought up, who have rebelled against her!'

#### UNCHRISTIAN TREATMENT.

The Rev. SANUEL E. CORNISH, an amiable and talented colored minister of the Presbyterian church, and editor of the New York Colored American, makes the following humiliating statement. Tell it not in Constantinople! publish it not in the streets of Calcutta!

We have spent most of the last seventeen years in New York, and we are bold in declaring, that professed Christians in the city, have been to us as hypocrites and heathen. We have received at the hands of very few, indeed, that fellowship and Christian kindness which were due to a Christian brother, and the ministry with which we have been connected, have failed, in all respects, to treat us as an ambassador of Christ. We have been an utter stranger to that sympathy and Christian courtesy, which are always due to an afflicted brother, from a Christian community, and especially from the ministry of Christ.

They have not done unto us, as in like circumstances they would be done by. When we have appealed to them, through the pulpit and the press, in public and in private, for their sympathy and aid in making provision for the education and salvation of our children, they have, apparently, been inexorable. Their reverence for popular prejudices, and their bending to public sentiment, in their intercourse with their colored brethren, have been the chief cause of any thing else, than the benevolence and humility of the holy Jesus.

At a recent meeting of the Barnstable Female Anti-Slavery Society, the following resolutions were adopted:

Resolved, That we as a Society, will strive to lay aside all sectarian and other prejudices, and gladly welcome to our house, and to our ministry, white, or color, who esteem it a privilege to plead for those who cannot plead for themselves, and knowing that in union lies our strength, will labor to preserve harmony and good feelings among all the members of our Society.

Resolved, That we will use our influence in favor of the immediate, and entire abolition of American slavery in spite of opposition or ridicule; trusting in Him alone who has commanded us to break every yoke, and let the oppressed go free.

Important Slave Case.—The District Court of the United States in session at Trenton, Judge Baldwin presiding, has been engaged during a week past, with a suit brought by Culbreth, of Md., against Griscum and others, inhabitants of St. Louis, and for rescuing a fugitive slave which the plaintiff had claimed in the vicinity of that town. After a laborious trial, Mr. Southard for the plaintiff, and Mr. Frelinghuysen, and others, for the defendants, the jury returned a verdict in favor of \$1000 damages, and costs against the defendants. A motion was made for a new trial, which will be argued at the next term.—*Norfolk Advertiser.*

A letter from Warsaw announces the arrival of a new slave from St. Petersburg, by which all the ancient boundaries of Russian Poland are abolished, and the latter kingdom is finally incorporated with Russia. The inhabitants are to pass freely from one country to the other, without hindrance, passports, or other impediments. The children of Polish soldiers are to belong to the Russian government, and to be placed in military schools; and generally the inhabitants of Poland are to be governed by the same laws as those of Russia.

The Rev. W. B. SNEAD.—We recently published the note by which the P. M. at Eastville, Va. signified the amount of sympathy exhibited by the Rev. W. B. SNEAD, on the occasion of the murder of a brother minister. We find in Zion's Watchman a letter from Snead himself to the editor of that paper, of which the following is a sample:

As for myself, I want the Union dissolved at once. I would fix the 24th of November, 1837, for that glorious discharge from the burden we have so long sustained in supporting the people of the North. You recollect, Judge Upham, saying very emphatically of this movement, in the Virginia Convention, in 1829, 'You will please do us the particular favor to send no more of your cursed fire brands to this place.'—Brother Roach has sent several of your infernal publications back to you; say that you must know his wish on the subject. Brother Mont, Oldham has sent several of these hellish productions.

Yours, &c.  
W. B. SNEAD.

The anxiety of such men to dissolve the Union reminds us of the anxiety of a drunkard who has the horrors, to die. They feel so bad, that they don't consider what will be their condition after a change.—*Emancipator.*

#### COMMUNICATIONS.

##### FIRST QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE NORFOLK COUNTY ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY.

The meeting was held at Rev. Mr. Bigelow's meeting-house, Walpole, April 26th, 1838. Erasmus Worthington, Esq., one of the Vice-Presidents, in the chair. An appropriate prayer was offered by Rev. J. Perkins, of Weymouth.

On motion, the following named gentlemen were chosen a committee on business:—  
Rev. D. Sanford, Dorchester;  
Rev. Thomas Driver, Dedham;  
Rev. Sewall Harding, Medway;  
E. Rhodes, Walpole;  
Rev. Jonas Perkins, Weymouth;  
Mr. Allen, Walpole;  
James Whitmore, Weymouth;  
Rev. A. A. Phelps, Boston.

While the committee were in session, very interesting remarks were made by E. Worthington, Esq., and Rev. Mr. Ripley. Rev. Mr. Harding, one of the business committee, introduced the first resolution, as reported below, on which he made appropriate remarks; and before any action was taken on the subject, the meeting adjourned to half past one o'clock, P. M.

##### AFTERNOON.

The Society met pursuant to adjournment. Gen. A. Howe, President, in the chair. Rev. Jacob Ide, D. D. addressed the Throne of Grace.

The following series of resolutions were reported by the committee, and ably discussed in their order, by Rev. S. Harding, Rev. Jacob Ide, D. D. Rev. A. A. Phelps, Rev. D. Sanford, Rev. Thomas Driver, and others.

1st. Resolved, That the principles we advocate, as abolitionists, are those of righteousness, humanity and religion; and they should be calmly examined, and steadfastly maintained, with a firm reliance on the God of the oppressed.

2d. Resolved, That the recent and full report, by Messrs. Thome and Kimball, of the effects of negro emancipation in the British West Indies, affords a practical illustration of what is a safe remedy for the evils of slavery, to wit, total and immediate emancipation. It also proves that it confers the greatest earthly blessing on the redeemed slaves, and likewise, becomes highly useful and satisfactory to their former masters.

Whereas, it is believed, that there are individuals in every town, professional men and others, who are abundantly qualified to open their mouth for the oppressed, therefore,

3d. Resolved, That it be recommended to the friends of the cause in this county, to procure some person in each town, or its immediate vicinity, to deliver an address in said town on the fourth of July, or take measures for a public discussion, and take collections on that occasion in aid of the cause; and to do this without delay, so that there may be addresses in every town in the county on that day, and no town be obliged, as in former years, to go without such address, because help cannot be obtained from a distance.

4th. Resolved, That the cause of abolition is suspended, under God, upon the diffusion of light and knowledge; therefore it is the duty of every friend of the cause to extend information in every proper way upon this important subject.

5th. Resolved, That it be recommended to the friends of abolition in this county, to take immediate measures for the establishment of an Anti-Slavery Library in each of their respective towns.

6th. Resolved, That unity, both in design and measures, is essential to the accomplishment of the great object of this society.

7th. Resolved, That to secure the final triumph of the cause of emancipation, it is requisite to bring the subject before the community in lectures; therefore, those who open houses of public worship for lectures and addresses, are aiding to promote the great objects of humanity.

8th. Resolved, That the thanks of this body be presented to this church and society, and their pastor, for the use of their house of worship, to extend what we believe to be the high interests of this whole nation.

Voted, To make an effort to raise two hundred dollars, in addition to the amount proposed as the first meeting of the society, in aid of the cause of abolition.

Voted, That each town society be requested to obtain their proportional part of the 1200 dollars, and pay in the same, by quarterly payments; or, if as convenient, all at once, to the Treasurer of this society.

The meeting was closed with prayer by the Rev. Mr. Ripley, of Foxborough.

The Board of Managers met immediately after the close of the public services of the day, and transacted the following business.

It having been understood that Dr. Jesse Wheaton, of Dedham, declined standing as Treasurer of the society, in consequence of his poor health, the Managers voted to excuse him, and chose Dr. Simeon Carpenter, of Dedham, to fill the same office, and J. Messenger, of Dedham, as Auditor in place of Dr. Carpenter.

Voted, That the Board of Managers meet at 8 o'clock, A. M. at all future meetings of the society, and transact the business for the meeting.

Voted, That Rev. Jacob Ide, D. D. and Rev. Sewall Harding, be a committee to make arrangements for the next meeting.

Resolved, That the next quarterly meeting be held at Rev. Dr. Ide's meeting-house, at Medway, on the 15th day of August next, at 10 o'clock, A. M.

Voted to adjourn.

APPLETON HOWE, President.  
ORIN P. BACON, Sec. Secretary.

##### MIDDLESEX COUNTY A. S. SOCIETY.

The MIDDLESEX COUNTY ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY held their quarterly meeting, according to previous notice, in the Free-will Baptist meeting-house in city of Lowell, April 24, 1838.

The President, Doct. Amos Farnsworth of Groton, took the chair, and the meeting was opened with prayer by Rev. T. Edson, of Lowell.

Rev. Messrs. Cross, Phelps and Edson, were appointed a business committee for the meeting.

Voted, That we recommend to the several town societies in the county, to raise money by quarterly subscriptions.

Voted, That the board employ agents for the county, as they shall deem expedient.

Voted, That hereafter the quarterly meetings be held for two days.

The following resolutions were presented by the committee, and unanimously adopted.

1. Resolved, That it be recommended to the friends of the anti-slavery cause in this county, to take measures for the immediate establishment of an anti-slavery library



The following were communicated as the only papers in the possession of the Government on the subject.—*Journal of Commerce.*

a moving mass to the foot of Broadway, where the procession was forming. This was accomplished according to previous regulations. The deceased, enclosed in proper coffins, were placed

in Mississippi, with a bowie knife. We understand that the perpetrator of the deed killed with a single blow of the same weapon the officer who attempted to arrest him.

And as in duty bound, your petitioner will continue to pray.

DAVID CAMBELL.

Leverett street jail, Boston, April 23, 1838.

Editors of papers friendly to the cause of Freedom,  
are requested to copy this notice.  
GEO. PENNOCK, *Res. Secretary.*

N. B. All of the above articles are made to order,  
and warranted.  
Walpole, April 7 6w



## LITERARY.

## LINES

To the memory of Mrs. Lucia Anne Farrand Kent, wife of George Kent, Esq., and President of the Concord Female Anti-Slavery Society, who departed this life, February 12, 1838, aged 39 years.

Bliss was the dead who die in the Lord!  
How shall we say that thou art gone,  
O best and loveliest one!  
Yet here in this so darkened earth,  
With cheerful life live on?  
Taught sadly of life's fading joys  
That died and went with thee,  
How shall our sorrowing love bow down  
Humbly to Heaven's decree.

Many were dying on the earth  
Upon thy dying day,  
And many such that mournful hour  
Have sadly passed away,  
And many a lonely heart is left,  
And many an aching brow,  
But none have wept a deeper loss  
Than we are weeping now.

The beauty of thy daily life  
On our like sunshine came,  
And those that shall best thy memory  
Who only heard thy name,  
And those who knew thy name nor face,  
If prayers are heard in Heaven—  
The suffering and the dying slave,  
For whom thou wast given.

In all life's loveliest charities  
Unwearied and minute,  
With sweetest breath from every look  
E'en when thy lips were mute;  
Such well benevolence as springs  
From feeling's kindly play,  
Fed by that intellectual fire  
Which guides and guards its ray.

Thou wert—but words are faint and weak  
To those who knew thee best,  
And tears to strangers feebly speak  
Of what we loved and lost—  
O grateful should we rather be  
That thou wert once our own,  
Than murmur at thy parting hence,  
Or weep that thou art gone.

An humble yet a trusting faith  
Was thine, and it is ours,  
And therefore can we calmly look  
Upon our dying flowers;  
And therefore can we see thee go,  
Our loveliest and our best,  
And yet that hope sublime and high  
Be still our bosom's guest.

The hope! the Christian's glorious hope,  
The hope of life to come!  
Dark were our sorrow for the dead  
Were earth our only home,  
And mourning our abiding here,  
And fasting were our faith,  
Deemed we our being numbered nought  
Save human life and death!

We walk upon this changing earth  
As in a troubled sleep,  
And dream of things that never were,  
And night is round us deep;  
We dream of something we have been,  
Of something we shall be;  
But when the death-film shrouds the eyes  
The soul may clearly see.

May we see that mortal hath not seen  
Nor mortal ear hath heard,  
Nor heart conceived, nor hope desired,  
E'en from His promise word,  
And happy thou when darkness fell  
Upon thy earthly sight,  
To go where every shadow melts  
In full, unclouded light.

I wished, when thou wert smiling near,  
My life like thine could be—  
O more is left to pray for now—  
That I might die like thee;  
Live with usefulness like thine,  
And loved with such a love,  
And dying, seek what thou hast found,  
Our Father's home above! M. S. N.

## LINES

On reading the Poems of Elizabeth M. Chandler.  
I may not make a pilgrimage, a long and weary road  
To weep and strew with votive flowers, thy lowly  
burial sod,  
Yet will thy hallowed thoughts, enshrined, in language  
warm and pure,  
Impress'd on memory's living page, in brightness long  
endure.

The aspirations of thy youthful heart, on holiest wing as-  
cending,  
The sweet and lofty, in the lay, of the "Devoted" blend-  
ing,  
The exult' Indian's fervent, deep, and proudly toned  
"Appeal,"  
The horrors of the "Battle-field," which coldest hearts  
might feel.

But chief for Africa's sacred cause, thy noblest strains  
awoke;  
And on the stern oppressor's ear, in holy pleadings  
broke;  
And varying as each various chord, that sways the hu-  
man breast,  
Arose persuasion, argument, in graceful vesture drest.

Joy to thy spirit, faithful one! no more a helpless band  
Arose with whom thy soul was join'd, condemn'd  
on every hand,  
But stronger, bolder, swells the flood, which soon a  
mighty tide  
Shall wash the hateful stain away, that dims thy coun-  
try's pride.

## HUMAN FLOWERS.

BY RICHARD HOWITT.

Sweet Lucy has chosen the life, as pale,  
And as lowly as she, still the pride of the vale:  
An emblem more fitting so fair and retired,  
Heart could not have chosen, nor fancy desired.  
And Ellen, gay Ellen, a symbol as true,  
In the harem has found, and its delicate blue:  
Forever the blossoms are fresh in her eyes,  
As dewy, as sweet, and more soft than the skies.

And Jane, in her thoughtful, conscious of power,  
Has gazed in her fervor on many a flower.  
Has chosen, rejected, then many a combined  
To blazon her graces of person and mind.

Whist! Isabel's face, like the dawn, in one flash—  
Far need she not wander to bank and to bush;  
Well the tint of her cheek the young Isabella knows,  
For the blossom of health is the beautiful rose.

And Mary, the pensive, who loves in the dusk  
Of the garden, to muse when the air is all hush;  
Will leave all its beauties, and many they are,  
To gaze, meek in thought, on the jessamine star.

And Kate, the light butterfly Kate, ever gay,  
Will kiss the first blossom that comes in her way;  
The citius will please her a moment, and then  
Away will she flutter and settle again.

But Julia, for me, with her heart in her eyes,  
The child of the summer too warm to be wise:  
Is the passion flower near her, with tendrils close curled,  
She can smile whilst she suffers, 'tis her's for the world.

All are lovely, all blossoms of heart and of mind;  
All true to their nature, as Nature designed:  
To cheer and to solace, to strengthen, to care,  
And with love that can die not and weak and to bless.

With gentleness might, and with weakness what grace!  
Revelations from heaven in form and in face;  
Like the bow in the cloud, like the flower on the sod,  
They ascend and descend in my dreams as from God.

## MISCELLANEOUS.

From the N. Y. Commercial Advertiser of April 28.  
THE GREAT WESTERN.

We cannot help rejoicing at the visit to our waters of the steam ship Sirius, and of her noble consort, the Great Western. Nor are we singular in our feelings of gratification. Our whole city is glad at the prospect of bringing this mother and daughter—glorious old England and proud young America—in closer proximity. And the feelings of our people have met a warm and hearty response in the bosoms of the officers and agents of the stranger ships, and of her majesty's representative and subjects resident among us. A substantial evidence of this reciprocity of feeling was manifested yesterday, in the splendid fete given on board the Great Western, connected with the official visit of the Mayor and Corporation, by Lieut. Hoskin, R. N., commander of the ship, and Richard Irvin, Esq., agent of the company.

The company was received on board with true sailor-like welcome, by Captain Hoskin and the crew, all in uniform for the occasion. The first hour was spent in examining the ship, and there was but one voice, that she is one of the most splendid naval structures, whether as regards her model, her appointments, or her decorations, ever seen in these or any other waters. Her burthen is 1,340 tons, of which it is computed the gross weight of the steam apparatus is 490—that of the boilers alone, with the water they contain being 180, and the piston cranks 17 tons each.

The machinery all works under deck, and is so placed that persons walk in the midst of it, and see its operations most fully. The piston action is not horizontal, as in most of the English engines, but perpendicular, with a very short stroke—not exceeding six or seven feet. The peculiarity of the engine is, however, that the crank action is above the walking beam, the cylinders being much further below the decks than the axes of the paddle wheels. The wheels are entirely of iron, with the exception of the floats, which are very narrow. By the use of iron, the wheels appear much lighter than in American steamers, though not at all inferior in strength.

The machinery is all so massive, and of such perfection and beauty of workmanship, as at once to arrest the attention, and excite the admiration of the beholder. The engine room is admirably arranged. The engineers are not cramped up in a place too small for convenience, but have plenty of room to attend to the operation of the engines, and to pay due attention to their working. Affixed to the frame work of the engine is a clock, or index, by which the number of strokes performed by the machinery, and the rate of their performance, are shown with the greatest accuracy, and were told that, without requiring to be again wound up, it will mark as many strokes as will suffice for the whole voyage; it is a most ingenious, and, we believe, novel invention.

Every thing in the engine room—the floors, steps, &c. is of iron, and the most perfect neatness prevails throughout. In the space surrounding the engines is storage room, in iron boxes of very convenient construction, for 800 tons of coal, while the diameter of her paddle-wheels is no less than 36 feet; they are moved by a 450 horse power. This statement will convey some idea of the force and rapidity with which she can be propelled through the water, and the fact that the ship performed the voyage in less by half a day than the time specified by the gentlemen of science in England who examined her apparatus, is the best commentary upon the accuracy of their calculations.

The dimensions of this fine vessel are such as to afford a state cabin of 82 feet in length, with an extra breadth of 34 feet, but of this a certain portion is taken up on each side for convenient and separate sleeping berths, except in the centre, where the entire space, being left open, forms, instead, two commodious recesses, elegantly fitted up with sofas and looking-glasses. This saloon is decorated in the style of the age of Louis Quatorze. The sides, which separate it from the sleeping berths, consist of panels divided by upright pilasters, surmounted by capitals of that character. The panels contain allegorical and emblematic paintings in the style of Watteau. The subjects are too diversified to allow us to enter into particular detail, but they are tastefully designed and executed, and are evidently the work of an eminent artist.

The prevailing color of the apartment, pilasters, &c. is a light salmon or flesh color, with rich gold ornaments and decorations; but the frames of the looking-glasses are in imitation of Dresden china, and those of the settees are carved oak. The cushions of the latter are covered with what is in England a new article, composed of horsehair and American grass, said to be of greater durability than silk, and which it very much resembles. This apartment is certainly one of the most elegant and costly of the kind ever executed. At the lower end of this saloon, on the right, is a small apartment elegantly fitted up, with sofas and draperies, as a withdrawing room, exclusively for the use of the lady passengers. At the corresponding corner on the left are the steward's rooms, and a stair-case leading to a cabin under the saloon, entirely fitted up with sleeping berths of the first class, for gentlemen.

The fore-cabin, which is divided from the principal one by the engine-room, is forty-six feet long, and of a proportionate breadth, having on each side berths enclosed by partitions, and doors painted to resemble oak, ornamented with gold mouldings; and beyond is a mess room for the officers of the ship.—The whole number of berths, is one hundred and twenty-eight, exclusive of those for servants and other accommodations.

In case of any derangement of machinery, however improbable, she is furnished with four masts, rigged something in the manner of a schooner, so that as a sailing vessel she could perform her voyage with tolerable speed. Every precaution has been taken to guard against the possibility of danger; and the visitors yesterday all expressed the highest satisfaction at the completeness and excellence of the arrangements.—And we hesitate not to repeat, that in regard to strength, convenience, and the elegance of her decorations, the Great Western is one of the most superb steamers ever yet launched by any nation, and one of the noblest specimens of enterprise that ever floated on the waves of the Atlantic.

One of the most interesting circumstances of the occasion, and the only one which produced any feelings but those of congratulations, [says the Journal of Commerce], was the presence of John Ridge, one of the principal chiefs of the Cherokees. While the mutual congratulations and pledges of friendship were going on between the citizens of two great nations, on opposite sides of the Atlantic, the presence of Ridge must have raised in the minds of all Americans a consciousness, that the treatment of our nation toward the defenceless natives on this continent was in marked and dishonorable contrast with our professions. Both nations might well indeed stop their professions and hide their heads at any occurrence which should bring to remembrance the rights of feeble nations. Mr. Tallmadge, Alderman elect for the Ninth Ward, gave the health of John Ridge, one of the principal chiefs of the Cherokee nation.

Mr. Ridge, says the Express, made an appropriate and really eloquent speech in reply: "I will say nothing of Indian or American politics, whatever I pledge you in the beginning. Whatever my tribe think of them, or of their grievances, this is not the place to trouble you with them. A son of the forest as I am, and speaking your language, but by adoption, I cannot say what I would, and what I can say, I cannot say well [hear]—I must, however, tell you how to conquer the Indian. Force will not do it. You may kill them to be sure. You may weary out life by little tortures. You may exterminate them, or drive them to the morasses as you have the Seminoles, but yet they are unconquerable by force. [hear.] The white has a weapon that will conquer them, and that is kindness—that is friendship—that they cannot resist. The Indian loves liberty with an enthusiasm, even the American children know not of it. All nations submit to slavery at times, but the Indian, to whom slavery is death.—[Cheers.]

I did not come, however, to talk of my nation or of the aborigines who ever dwelt upon and around all these shores, where now are your steam ships and your splendid cities. England and the Americans have done us a wrong, it is too late to repair.—The nations in common stand charged with the sin.—[Hear.] The constitution of this country, in its organization of the State Governments, did us a wrong, in the great good it did you, to the cause of civil liberty, and to mankind. But we have submitted to our fate. I come from our new home—from the regions beyond the Mississippi. We have liberty there. Differ as I may with Boudinot and John Ross, I differ with them, not in the least, in the love the whole Cherokee nation have for liberty. [Hear.]

These remarks were received with loud cheers, and Mr. Ridge concluded by offering a sentiment.

## ADDITIONAL PARTICULARS.

We take the following particulars in relation to the late Steam Boat accident, from the Cincinnati Whig of Thursday evening, April 25th.

Thursday, 1 o'clock, P. M.  
We have just returned from the scene of horror occasioned by the explosion, and the account above published, instead of being in the slightest degree exaggerated, [as has been intimated by a few] falls far short of the dreadful reality. The fragments of human bodies are now lying scattered all along the shore, and we saw the corpse of a number, so mangled and torn, that they bore scarcely any resemblance to the human form. We also saw several with their heads and arms entirely blown off; others with only a part of the head destroyed, and others with their lower extremities shivered to shreds.

Fragments of the boilers, and other portions of the boat, were thrown from fifty to two hundred yards on the shore, some of them having passed entirely over the two rows of buildings on the street, and a portion of the boilers tearing away the gable end of a stable situated high up the steep hill in the rear of the houses, at least 200 yards from the boats. Other parts of the boat were driven entirely through a large house on the street, entering through the windows on one side and passing out at the other. It is positively stated that one man was picked up this morning on the Kentucky side, having been blown completely across the river. The wreck of the boat now lies near the steam Water Works, [about three quarters of a mile below where the accident occurred], having her chimneys and about half of her upper cabin above the water. A great many persons are employed in gathering the bodies, freight, &c. Only four bodies have to-day been taken from the boat, viz. a German woman and her two children, and another small boy. The number of dead and mangled bodies, altogether, that have been recovered, is about twenty, as nearly as has yet been ascertained. A number of persons, severely wounded, have been sent to the hospitals, but whose names we have not yet heard. One young man of the name of Edward Sexton, from Connecticut, we saw in a neighboring house, dreadfully scalded; but his physician thinks he will certainly get well.

The lower deck of the boat is yet entirely under water, and when the boat shall be raised, a very large number of persons, it is expected, will be found. There are no doubt more persons lost than we have stated. We conversed, a while ago, with Mr. Broadwell, the Agent of the boat, who says positively, that there were ninety-five deck passengers, whose names were entered on the boat's register, at Pittsburgh, Wheeling, and other towns on the river above this place, for Louisville, St. Louis, and other places below. Here, then, are one hundred and thirty passengers that must have been on board, exclusive of the very large number who took passage at this place.

The boat was unusually crowded, and Mr. Broadwell thinks the whole number on board, at the time of the accident, cannot be but little, if any, short of THREE HUNDRED persons. From the best information we can gather, it does not appear that more than 30 or 40 of this number are known to have been rescued. It is therefore probable, that the whole number drowned or destroyed, is somewhere in the neighborhood of TWO HUNDRED, OR TWO HUNDRED AND THIRTY OR FORTY PERSONS! It is impossible that any accurate detail of the dead and missing can ever be made, or the precise number ascertained. A very large portion of them were deck passengers, whose humble sphere in life, will preclude the possibility of finding out their names.

In addition to those we have already mentioned as having been lost or killed, we have ascertained the following:—  
Col. Fowle, U. S. Army, on his way to Florida—family resides in Washington city—body not found, but several articles known to be his.  
David James, London county, Virginia—he had about his person \$35 in cash.  
John G. Freeman, Middletown, Ohio—had in his pocket book, \$42.  
George Glasser, Cincinnati.  
James Barnett, Rolls county, Missouri.  
James B. McFarland, Knox county, Ohio—had about him \$46.  
Robert Watts, a young man whose father resides in Newport, Ky. We saw the father weeping over the corpse.  
James Douglas, merchant of Chillicothe, Ohio—had \$20.  
Colly Dillon, boat hand.  
Mr. Burns, a young man, book binder, from Philadelphia—his mother was weeping over his body.

Two of the Engineers.—One named James Madden, a boy learning the engineering business, was near the others, but escaped.  
The chief and second Clerks—the latter is stated in our extra to have escaped—but this proves to be erroneous—he was found alive but has since died, as we understand. The name of one of the clerks is Bowman, but we have not yet ascertained which one.  
Calvin R. Stone, Shrewsbury, Massachusetts—had \$63.  
Miss Dunham, daughter of a Methodist clergyman living on the Miami.  
Mr. Chapman, a young man—saddler—Cincinnati.  
Henry Casey, a young man—boot maker—Cincinnati.

B. Mitchell, bar keeper of the boat.  
These are all the particulars we have as yet been able to ascertain. The names of several of the dead bodies found, have not been discovered.

## DEFERRED ARTICLES.

## ACT OF THE LEGISLATURE OF MASSACHUSETTS.

AN ACT to regulate the sale of Spirituous Liquors.  
Be it enacted, &c. as follows:—  
Sec. 1. No licensed innholder, retailer, common victualler, or other person, except as hereinafter provided, shall sell any brandy, rum, or other spirituous liquors, or any mixed liquor, part of which is spirituous, in a less quantity than fifteen gallons, or deliver the same carried away all at one time, on pain of forfeiting not more than twenty dollars, nor less than ten dollars for each offence, to be recovered in the manner and for the use provided in the twenty-seventh section of the forty-seventh chapter of the Revised Statutes.

Sec. 2. The county commissioners in the several counties, may license for their respective towns, as many apothecaries or practising physicians, as they deem necessary to be retailers of spirituous liquors, to be used in the arts, or for medicinal purposes only; and the mayor and aldermen of the several cities, may, in like manner, and for like purposes, license apothecaries as retailers for their respective cities; and the court of common pleas in the county of Suffolk, in like manner, and for like purposes, may license apothecaries, and persons who are retailers in the town of Chelsea, which licenses shall be granted in the same manner and under the same restrictions now provided by law for licensing retailers; provided that the number of persons so licensed shall not exceed one for every two thousand inhabitants, and no person may be licensed; and provided further, that in such cities and towns where there is no apothecary, or practising physician, such other person or persons may be appointed as retailer, as may be deemed proper by said county commissioners, and no person so licensed shall sell any spirituous liquor to be drunk in or about his premises, on pain of the forfeiture provided in the first section of this act.

Sec. 3. All licenses heretofore granted to innholders, retailers, and common victuallers, shall be so framed as not to authorize the licensed persons to sell brandy, rum, or any other spirituous liquors; and no excuse or fee shall be required for such a license.

Sec. 4. The provisions of all laws now in force, inconsistent with the act, are hereby repealed.

Sec. 5. This act shall take effect on the first day of July next, but shall have no operation upon any licenses granted previous to that time.

Approved by the Governor, April 19, 1838.

## IN THE NEW-YORK ASSEMBLY.

Report of Committee.  
By Mr. Stillman. A report from the judiciary committee on the subject of slavery and the slave-trade in the District of Columbia, including resolutions asserting that Congress have the power to abolish slavery in the District of Columbia, and that the slave-trade in said District ought to be immediately abolished.

Also on the petition for the abolition of all laws which make a distinction on account of color—the committee believing that there are no such laws—that all such distinctions that exist is made by the constitution.

The same committee report on the petitions for an extension of the right of trial by jury to fugitive slaves, or persons claimed as such, that the right is already given by the writ of *habeas corpus*, which law has been pronounced unconstitutional by several of the Justices of the United States; and therefore do not advise the enactment of any further laws on the subject until it is established that the Legislature has a right to make any law at all. Laid on the table.

By Mr. Taylor.—From the minority of the judiciary committee, in relation to the several petitions respecting slavery in the District of Columbia, concluding with a resolution that Congress have power to abolish the District of Columbia and the territories—that it ought so to abolish it, and that no more states should be admitted in which slavery is tolerated.

## A. E. GRIMKE.

A Boston correspondent of the Detroit Morning Post speaks of Miss Grimke's effort before the Legislative Committee of this State, as follows:

You have probably heard that Miss GRIMKE, a pretty quakeress from the south, recently addressed the House of Representatives on the subject of slavery. I had the pleasure of being present.—The Hall was crowded to overflowing; probably so large and respectable an assembly has never assembled in the United States. Upon my entrance, I discovered that the ladies filled about half the room, and with my customary non-chalance, I crowded myself into a seat between two handsome "black eyed Susans." However, that has nothing to do with Miss Grimke. To tell the truth, she is a woman of splendid eloquence, and has made me nineteen-twentieths of an abolitionist.

The Pittsburgh Manufacturer, a colonization print, makes the following sagacious comment:

Miss Grimke, of North Carolina, we believe, is delivering abolition lectures to the members of the Massachusetts Legislature. Miss G. is very likely in search of a "good" cause, and is not to be deterred by "worse" for life, and she has thus made a bold dash among the yankee law-makers.

WASHINGTON, April 9th, 1838.

The bill to prohibit the giving or receiving of a challenge to fight a duel in the District of Columbia was passed in the Senate to-day, with only one dissentient voice.—That of Mr. Revie. The bill is a good one, and the bill upon all persons concerned in fighting a duel, or being concerned therein, or sending or accepting a challenge, the penalty of imprisonment in the penitentiary, at hard labor, for a term not more than ten years, nor less than five years, at the discretion of the court. The third section punishes any person who shall assault or post another for not accepting a challenge, with imprisonment in the penitentiary, at hard labor, for a term not exceeding seven years, nor less than three years. The fourth and last section requires the oath to be administered to the Grand Jury of the District of Columbia, that they will faithfully inquire into, and true presentment make, of all offences against this act.

KINGSTON, 5th March, 1838.

This island is in a poor state; business extremely dull, and every one disgusted with the mother country, or with its rulers. We are now approaching the time (August) when a part of our black population will be made free, and it is impossible that we should not be acquainted with the work, that its principal design has ever been, and will continue to be, the advocacy of Free Discussion; the TOTAL ABOLITION OF SLAVERY; and the firm establishment of the constitutional, and inalienable, and universal rights of man. These objects are sought to be accomplished by the promulgation of facts and arguments—by moral suasion—by appeals to the reason, justice, and reflection, of the people and their representatives. A strict neutrality is observed, with respect to the present measures and proceedings of religious sects, and of political parties, under their present organizations—yet the acts of both individuals and associations, of whatever name or standing, are considered fit subjects of scrutiny and criticism, so far as they may have a bearing upon the question of slavery.

This paper will contain a full report of all the official proceedings of the Illinois State Anti-Slavery Society, with notices, &c. of local societies, and such other original and important matter, selected matter, with the all absorbing question of Emancipation in America, as may be deemed instructive and entertaining to its readers. A small department will be appropriated to the Muses, and to literary notices, &c. The most important domestic and foreign news of a general nature, and a few advertisements, will also be inserted.

TERMS OF PUBLICATION.  
The GENIUS OF UNIVERSAL EMANCIPATION will be handsomely printed, on a fine, large, imperial sheet, and folded in the Quarto form—making eight pages, with four columns to each page of common newspaper width.

The price of single subscriptions will be three dollars per annum; two dollars for eight months; one dollar and fifty cents for six months. Any person or association, who may remit ten dollars, will be entitled to four copies for one year, or eight copies for six months. For twenty dollars, they will be furnished with ten copies for one year, or twenty for six months. When several copies are thus ordered, they will be sent to individuals in different places, if desired. Payment must, in all cases, be made in advance.

No subscription will be taken for a shorter period than six months.—In which case a volume of the work will be sent, and accompanied by a title page, and will be indexed, in order that it may be bound, for preservation and reference.

Remittances may be made in current bank bills, of the section of country in which the subscriber resides. The publisher will guarantee the safe conveyance of money, by mail, provided he shall be furnished with legal proof, that it shall have been properly deposited in the post office, for remittance.

Subscribers, wishing to discontinue at the expiration of the time for which payment shall have been made, must previously notify the publisher accordingly; or, otherwise, their bills will be forwarded for another term.

Letters, communications, &c. for the editor, must be addressed to BENJAMIN LUNDY, Housington, Putnam County, N.Y., until further notice. If sent by mail, the postage must, in all cases, be paid by those who forward them.

"Help Meet!"—The New York Spectator gives the following exposition of this phrase:

It is generally read, and understood, as though the sacred historian intended to designate a wife as a "help meet." Hence the frequent expression—my "help meet," "help meet," "Mr. D. has taken a 'help meet,' &c. &c. as though 'help meet' was but another name for wife. Now it is not so.—The passage is this:

And the Lord God said, it is not good that the man should live alone: I will make him an help meet for him."

The correct reading gives a slight pause after the word help, and increases the emphasis after the word meet—thus: "I will therefore make him an help, meet for him—or, in other words, fit, or suitable for him. There are thus beauty, simplicity, and meaning in the expression. In the common reading and acceptance, there is neither.

## PENNSYLVANIA LEGISLATURE.

In Senate, March 20, Mr. Leet presented a Resolution, that a committee of five be appointed by the Senate, to take into consideration the memorial of the Young Men's Colonization Society of Pennsylvania, and that they be instructed to report a bill granting them an appropriation of 10,000 dollars a year for five years—which was read and laid on the table.

What's the matter?

The Southern Commercial Convention.—The Portsmouth, (Virginia) Times of the 26th, says of it—  
The idea of cutting off suddenly from New York and her Northern competitors, is Utopian. Complete isolation in these cities is quite impossible. It would be fully to expect a resort to a southern town in preference. If those who anticipate such wonders from their declaration of commercial independence, would look at the ground of the security which they great emporiums look down on their attempts, they would be perhaps less bold in their predictions.

A shipmaster at Havana, writes the Editor of the Post, dated 6th ult. to caution his brother shipmasters, bound to that port, against taking on board their vessels any black cooks or stewards, as all free colored persons are contrabands. The authorities, immediately on arrival, imprison all free blacks till their ship is ready to depart, when they are returned on board, the owners of the vessel being at the expense of prison fees and board. "A guard of soldiers," says the writer, "just come on board my vessel, and taken to prison my cook and steward."

Died.—At Wallingford, Conn. on the 2d inst. Miss Eliza Hall, aged 37 years. The deceased left the following legacies: to the American Anti-Slavery Society, \$100; to the American Bible Society, \$100; to the American Education Society, \$50; to a young man preparing for the gospel ministry, \$50. After a life of active and devoted piety, she rests from her labors, and her works do follow her.

ABOLITION OF SLAVERY IN THE FRENCH COLONIES.—In a letter from Robert Walsh, Esq. now in Paris, in giving a summary of an exceedingly interesting debate in the French Chamber of Deputies, on the subject of the abolition of Slavery in the Colonies of France, he says:—"The debate which I have reported for you above, itself abounds with events. Negro slavery will be abolished in the French colonies; the measure will be begun, perhaps, even before the year 1840."

Slavery Apprenticeship.—Lord Brougham in the House of Lords presented a petition of some 16,000 persons, that negro apprenticeship be abolished, and that the measure might be abolished after August, which of course anticipates the time of the parliamentary act by two years. He noticed his intention of presenting 13 similar petitions, and inveighed against the extent to which slavery was still carried on.

COAST OF AFRICA.—Authentic accounts received yesterday from the Gold Coast state that a negro vessel of the blacks had broken out at Elmina, a Dutch settlement not far from Cape Coast; and that the Governor, who had sailed forth against them with 3000 men, was, together with every individual under his command, either killed or taken prisoner. A simultaneous event, as may naturally be supposed, had thrown the merchants belonging to the other settlements on that coast, into a state of the greatest alarm.—Observer.

At Augusta, Ge. 26th inst. an inquest was held over the body of Charlotte, a slave of the Hon. John P. King. The verdict was that she came to her death from a pistol shot, by a young man named Wooster, who was first imprisoned, and afterwards bailed, in the sum of \$3,000, for his appearance to answer an indictment, under a recent law, for carrying the carrying of deadly weapons about the person.

By a private letter from Brazilia, we learn that a duel was fought some time in February near that place, by George W. Shield, of Virginia, and Richard S. Redmon, of New York. They were both dangerously wounded—the latter had since died.

On the 9th inst. "Old negro John," a negro of Washington, died, celebrated for his longevity, being 115 years of age, and to an advanced age by accidental drowning in the Washington canal.

Thomas J. Buchanan, of Clermont county, Ohio, declared in the legislature of Ohio, "that negroes had no more right to petition the legislature than dogs."

## PROPOSALS

FOR RESUMING THE WEEKLY PUBLICATION OF THE GENIUS OF UNIVERSAL EMANCIPATION.

By BENJAMIN LUNDY.

The editor and proprietor of this work has concluded to resume its weekly publication, in the State of Illinois. The particular place of location is not yet determined, but will be made known when the first Number of the Sixteenth Volume shall appear. If practicable, that Number will be issued in the early part of July, next, (1838).

As the character of the "GENIUS OF UNIVERSAL EMANCIPATION" is, perhaps, unnecessary to say much in explanation thereof. The work has been published, at different places, and in various forms, nearly seventeen years. It was commenced in 1821; issued a few months in Ohio; nearly three years in Tennessee; eight years in Maryland, and the District of Columbia; and the residue of the period, stated, it has been published, irregularly, in the city of Philadelphia. During the whole of this period, the principles maintained, the measures proposed, and the prime objects of the weekly parties, have been the same. There has been no change of opinion on the part of the editor—or alteration in the general course pursued by him—and none is contemplated.

It may be proper, however, to state more explicitly, for the information of those who have not made themselves acquainted with the work, that its principal design has ever been, and will continue to be, the advocacy of Free Discussion; the TOTAL ABOLITION OF SLAVERY; and the firm establishment of the constitutional, and inalienable, and universal rights of man. These objects are sought to be accomplished by the promulgation of facts and arguments—by moral suasion—by appeals to the reason, justice, and reflection, of the people and their representatives. A strict neutrality is observed, with respect to the present measures and proceedings of religious sects, and of political parties, under their present organizations—yet the acts of both individuals and associations, of whatever name or standing, are considered fit subjects of scrutiny and criticism, so far as they may have a bearing upon the question of slavery.

This paper will contain a full report of all the official proceedings of the Illinois State Anti-Slavery Society, with notices, &c. of local societies, and such other original and important matter, selected matter, with the all absorbing question of Emancipation in America, as may be deemed instructive and entertaining to its readers. A small department will be appropriated to the Muses, and to literary notices, &c. The most important domestic and foreign news of a general nature, and a few advertisements, will also be inserted.

TERMS OF PUBLICATION.  
The GENIUS OF UNIVERSAL EMANCIPATION will be handsomely printed, on a fine, large, imperial sheet, and folded in the Quarto form—making eight pages, with four columns to each page of common newspaper width.